

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/448,633	Applicant(s) WEI ET AL.	
	Examiner Marjorie A. Moran	Art Unit 1631	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Marjorie A. Moran. (3) Dr. Wei.
 (2) Melanie Gover. (4) Steve Wolf.

Date of Interview: 14 November 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Proposed claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: GARMAN.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Marjorie A. Moran
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Gover proposed limiting the claimed compound to comprise identical fluorescent groups to distinguish from the compound of GOWER, wherein fluorescence relies on energy transfer between different groups. In addition, Ms. Gover proposed adding amendments with regard to an increase in fluorescence when fluorescent groups are separated of at least 10-fold over the quenched state, and a red-shift in the fluoresced wavelength. The examiner stated that the proposed amendments may overcome the prior art of GOWER, but would not state definitely that they would do so without seeing the actual amendment. In addition, the examiner cautioned that any amendment must be supported by the specification. She also stated that the proposed amendments may be considered new issues if introduced in an after-final amendment .